

CHOOSING LEXICAL ITEMS FOR TEACHING ENGLISH TO ESP GROUPS OF STUDENTS

This article is devoted to the exploration of challenges and strategies related to selecting appropriate lexical items for English for Specific Purposes (ESP) courses. It outlines the distinctions between ESP and General English, emphasizing the importance of focusing on learners' immediate professional or academic needs. Contributions from leading scholars underline the necessity of aligning instructional materials with learners' goals and leveraging authentic texts to increase relevance. The study employs a mixed-methods approach, consisting of four main stages: needs analysis, corpus linguistics, peer review, and iterative refinement. These stages culminate in the development of a robust vocabulary selection model that adapts dynamically to learners' contexts. The findings demonstrate that combining these methods enhances flexibility and adaptability in vocabulary instruction. Future research directions propose exploring innovative methodologies for improving vocabulary acquisition, particularly in globally evolving fields. Overall, the article serves as a practical guideline for educators aiming to create effective ESP curricula tailored to learners' specific requirements.

Key words: English for Specific Purposes (ESP), lexical items selection, needs analysis, corpus linguistics, peer review, authentic materials, dynamic adaptation.

Introduction

English for Specific Purposes (ESP) refers to an educational approach that centers on equipping learners with language skills directly applicable to their specific professional or academic domains. Unlike general English courses, ESP places a strong emphasis on specialized vocabulary and communicative strategies tailored to learners' immediate communication needs. Given the wide variety of professions and academic disciplines, the challenge lies in selecting lexical items that accurately reflect these unique contexts.

According to T. Hutchinson and A. Waters, an ESP course is fundamentally shaped by learners' purposes for studying the language [3]. Consequently, careful thought must go into the selection of materials and vocabulary, ensuring they align with learners' professional goals. J. Harmer expands on this idea by stressing the importance of using authentic materials in classrooms [2]. Authentic texts make learning more realistic and relevant, enhancing learners' motivation and understanding. However, selecting vocabulary for ESP classes presents distinct challenges compared to general English courses.

J.C. Richards makes a compelling point regarding the specificity of ESP vocabulary. He states that ESP courses should focus on the special needs of learners,

not merely the general needs of language users [4]. This implies that vocabulary selection in ESP should reflect the precise requirements of learners' professions or academic disciplines. Thus, this study delves into the intricate process of choosing lexical items for ESP courses, outlining practical strategies and justifications for educators.

The Aim of the Article

The primary aim of this article is to explore the process and criteria involved in selecting appropriate lexical items for ESP courses geared toward diverse student groups. Specifically, it intends to provide practical guidelines for educators designing specialized curricula. By examining the unique needs of different professional fields, this study seeks to offer educators insights into tailoring vocabulary instruction to meet learners' specific requirements.

Furthermore, the study will draw on established theories and scholarly works from renowned authors in the field of ESP, including Hutchinson, Waters, Harmer, Richards, Evans, Greenwood, Waters, Biber, Connor, Upton, and Robinson. These authorities provide a robust foundation for understanding the nuanced aspects of vocabulary selection in ESP contexts.

A Review of Literature

Before diving into the methodology and results, it is essential to examine previous research and theory surrounding ESP and vocabulary selection. Various scholars have made significant contributions to this area, each bringing unique perspectives to bear on the issue.

T. Hutchinson and A. Waters laid the groundwork for understanding ESP by clearly defining its objectives. They explained that an ESP course is inherently guided by learners' purposes, making vocabulary selection dependent on learners' goals [3]. This principle has since become foundational in ESP pedagogy.

J. Harmer reinforced the importance of authenticity in teaching materials. He argued that authentic texts are vital because they bridge the gap between classroom learning and real-world application [2]. This perspective underscores the necessity of basing vocabulary selection on authentic materials to ensure relevance.

J.C. Richards elaborated on the specificity of ESP vocabulary. He posited that ESP courses must focus on learners' special needs rather than general language skills. This differentiation highlights the importance of selecting vocabulary that mirrors learners' professional or academic contexts [4].

M. Evans and J. Greenwood introduced corpus linguistics as a tool for vocabulary selection. They demonstrated how corpus-based approaches provide insights into natural language usage, allowing teachers to identify high-frequency terms relevant to learners' fields [1]. This approach offers a data-driven method for vocabulary selection.

Additionally, K. Waters et al. explored lexicogrammar in academic writing, emphasizing the importance of pairing vocabulary with grammatical structures. Their work illustrates the multifaceted nature of vocabulary selection, encompassing not only lexical items but also their structural context [6].

Lastly, C. Robinson addressed the dynamic nature of ESP curricula. She highlighted the need for constant updates to keep up with changes in professions and

academia [5]. This dynamism underscores the fluidity of vocabulary selection, which must respond to evolving contexts.

Research Methods

To fulfill the objectives of this study, a mixed-methods approach was adopted. Initially, a thorough review of the literature was undertaken to establish a theoretical foundation. Subsequently, the study proceeded to implement a series of practical steps aimed at identifying and validating appropriate lexical items for ESP courses.

Stage One: Needs Analysis

This stage began with identifying learners' goals and needs. Surveys, interviews, and direct observation were utilized to gather information about learners' backgrounds, professions, and anticipated uses of English. Questionnaire prompts included:

- *What are your short-term and long-term career aspirations?*
- *How do you anticipate using English in your professional life?*
- *Which areas of English would you like to improve immediately?*

From this data, an initial list of potential vocabulary items was generated.

Stage Two: Identifying Core Vocabulary Sets

Using corpus linguistics tools, the frequency of occurrence for each item was analyzed. Only high-frequency terms that appeared repeatedly in professional or academic contexts were retained. Additional sources, such as reference books, dictionaries, and professional journals, were consulted to supplement the corpus-derived data.

Stage Three: Evaluation of Relevance Across Contexts

Selected vocabulary underwent validation through peer review. Subject-matter experts assessed the appropriateness of each item for the intended professional or academic context. Adjustments were made based on expert recommendations.

Stage Four: Iterative Refining

Finally, the refined vocabulary list was piloted with small groups of learners. Feedback from these pilots informed further iterations until a final, validated list was produced.

Results and Discussions

The study revealed several key findings regarding vocabulary selection for ESP courses:

- **Alignment with Professional Demands:** The selected vocabulary aligned well with learners' immediate communication needs within their professional domains.
- **Frequency-Based Prioritization:** High-frequency terms were effectively identified and prioritized using corpus linguistics tools.
- **Visual Representation:** Visual aids proved instrumental in enhancing clarity and retention of vocabulary.
- **Dynamic Adaptation:** Resources were refreshed periodically to account for changes in professional contexts.
- These findings highlight the importance of a multi-faceted approach to vocabulary selection. Notably, the combination of needs analysis, corpus linguistics, peer review, and iterative refinement led to a robust and adaptive vocabulary list.

Table 1

Comparison Between Choosing and Teaching Vocabulary Items in General English for Specific Purposes (ESP) vs. General English for Foreigners (ESL)

<i>Aspect</i>	<i>General English for Specific Purposes (ESP)</i>	<i>General English for Foreigners (ESL)</i>
Target Audience	Professionals needing English for work-related purposes	Non-native speakers aiming to improve general conversational fluency
Vocabulary Selection Criteria	Specialized terminology linked to specific occupations	Universal, high-frequency vocabulary applicable across many contexts
Teaching Approach	Task-oriented, context-driven instruction focusing on authentic materials	Grammar-focused, communicative methodology emphasizing functional language
Assessment Methods	Performance-based assessments measuring ability to perform tasks	Standardized exams (TOEFL, IELTS) evaluating broader language competence
Content Delivery	Real-life scenarios mimicking actual workplaces	Fictional dialogues, textbook exercises, generic themes
Learning Objectives	Developing skills necessary for performing duties	Enhancing overall proficiency in speaking, listening, reading, and writing
Examples of Instructional Materials	Case studies, simulations, mock presentations	Textbooks, worksheets, flashcards, games
Primary Goal	Preparing learners for professional responsibilities	Equipping learners with versatile language skills
Frequency of Term Usage	Domain-specific terms repeated frequently in professional discourse	More generalized terms recurring less predictably
Relevance Across Disciplines	Narrow scope limited to particular fields	Wide-ranging applicability across diverse situations
Language Complexity	Technical jargon, complex syntax, formal register	Simple sentences, informal tone, colloquial expressions
Pedagogical Framework	Needs analysis followed by task-based curriculum design	Syllabus-driven model covering grammar rules, vocabulary lists, pronunciation drills
Instructional Strategies	Direct method, inductive reasoning, collaborative problem solving	Deductive explanation, rote memorization, structured repetition
Evaluation Metrics	Outcome-oriented	Formative and summative

	assessment looking at completed tasks	tests grading mastery along multiple parameters
Long-Term Benefits	Immediate utility in professional settings resulting in measurable returns	Gradual improvement contributing indirectly to personal enrichment and societal integration
Shortcomings	Risk of becoming too narrowly focused limiting versatility outside immediate context	Potential disconnect between acquired knowledge and practical application
Advantages	Targeted instruction yields faster results relevant to learners' immediate needs	Broader coverage allows for flexible adaptation to varying circumstances
Application Scenarios	Business negotiations, technical reports, academic papers	Travel conversations, casual exchanges, daily transactions
Outcome Expectations	Competence in handling specialized communication needs	General proficiency enabling smooth interaction regardless of topic area

Conclusion

Selecting lexical items judiciously is paramount to high-quality ESP education. By applying theoretical frameworks proposed by leading scholars such as Hutchinson, Waters, Harmer, Richards, Evans, Greenwood, Waters, Biber, Connor, Upton, and Robinson, educators can better prepare learners for their professional or academic contexts. The study demonstrates that a combination of needs analysis, corpus linguistics, peer review, and iterative refinement produces optimal results.

Future research should continue investigating innovative methodologies for enhancing vocabulary acquisition in ESP settings, particularly in rapidly evolving fields influenced by globalization and technological advancements. The ultimate goal remains to empower learners with the vocabulary and communicative strategies necessary for success in their chosen fields.

References

1. Evans M., Greenwood J. Corpus Linguistics for Teachers: An Introduction. Continuum International Publishing Group, 2007.
2. Harmer J. The Practice of English Language Teaching (4th ed.). Pearson Education Limited, 2007.
3. Hutchinson T., Waters A. English for Specific Purposes. Cambridge University Press, 1987.
4. Richards J.C. Curriculum Development in Language Teaching. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
5. Robinson C. (2011). Updating ESP Curricula for Dynamic Professions // TESOL Quarterly. 2011. No. 45 (2). P. 354-372.
6. Waters K. Lexicogrammar of Academic Writing: From Corpus-Based Findings to Pedagogical Applications / K. Waters, D. Biber, U. Connor, T. Upton. Routledge, 2010.

В.П. Богданова
ФГБОУ ВО «Пятигорский
государственный университет»
vbogdanova220@gmail.com
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-5400-4854>

ПОДБОР ЛЕКСИКИ ДЛЯ ОБУЧЕНИЯ АНГЛИЙСКОМУ ЯЗЫКУ ГРУПП СТУДЕНТОВ, ИЗУЧАЮЩИХ ЯЗЫК ДЛЯ СПЕЦИАЛЬНЫХ ЦЕЛЕЙ

Статья посвящена исследованию проблем и стратегий, связанных с подбором подходящих лексических единиц для курсов английского языка для специальных целей (ESP). Автор описывает различия между ESP и общим английским языком, выделяет важность сосредоточения внимания на насущных профессиональных или академических потребностях учащихся. Работы таких ведущих ученых подчеркивают необходимость приведения учебных материалов в соответствие с целями учащихся и использования аутентичных текстов для повышения их актуальности. В исследовании используется смешанный подход, состоящий из четырех основных этапов: анализ потребностей, корпусная лингвистика, экспертная оценка и итеративное уточнение. Кульминацией этих этапов является разработка надежной модели подбора словарного запаса, которая динамически адаптируется к контексту обучения. Полученные результаты показывают, что сочетание этих методов повышает гибкость и адаптируемость при обучении лексике. Будущие направления исследований предполагают изучение инновационных методик для улучшения усвоения словарного запаса, особенно в развивающихся во всем мире областях. В целом, статья служит практическим руководством для преподавателей, стремящихся создать эффективные учебные программы ESP, адаптированные к конкретным требованиям учащихся.

Ключевые слова: английский для специальных целей (ESP), отбор лексических единиц, анализ потребностей, корпусная лингвистика, экспертная оценка, аутентичные материалы, динамическая адаптация.